

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,729	08/01/2001	Don Hideyasu Matsubayashi	36.P307	4313
5514	7590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			NASH, LASHANYA RENEE	
NEW YORK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/919,729	MATSUBAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	LaShanya R. Nash	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shet with the c	orrespond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	J.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	larch 2006.					
·— ·—	action is non-final.					
<del>, _</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
•						
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
or claim(s) are subject to restriction and a	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2153

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to an Amendment filed 13 March 2006. Claims 1-28 are presented for further consideration.

## **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments, see *Remarks*, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejections are made in view of newly found prior art reference Hu (US Patent 6,173,322), as set forth below in the Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, and 9-10 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Achenson et al. (US Patent 6,477,586), in view of Hu (US Patent 6,173,322), hereinafter referred to as Achenson and Hu respectively.

In reference to claim 1, Achenson discloses a method for transmission of messages (i.e. remote procedure calls) between multi-threaded processes within a networking system, without each thread requiring an independent communications

Art Unit: 2153

channel (column 1, lines 4-7 and column 2, lines 1-8; and column 3, lines 22-32).

Achenson explicitly discloses:

- In a network, a method of using a messaging component (i.e. remote procedure call function), which has a network address (i.e. implemented in TCP/IP network processor; column 1, lines 10-50) and a single network communication channel for sending and receiving messages by a plurality if threads execution executing on a first network computer which communicates to a second network computer, (column 2, lines 10-39; column 3, lines 22-46; column 4, line 67 to column 5, line 25; and column 1, lines 1-10) the method comprising:
- Establishing on the network computer, a direct connection between the first network computer and the second network computer (column 2, lines 23-27; column 3, lines 33-42; column 6, lines 20-26; column 5, line 64 to column 6, line 2; and column 6, lines 57-58);
- Supplying registration information (i.e. queue id) associated with each of the plurality
  of execution threads executing on the network computer, (column 5, lines 48-55 and
  column 6, lines 27-32);
- Receiving via the direct connection a message at the first network computer by the
  messaging component, the message containing the network address of the
  messaging component, the message payload portion (I.e. hqueue) for identifying
  one or more of the execution threads, (column 6, lines 32-41; column 1, lines 34-42;
  column 7, line 67 to column 8, line 2; and column 6, lines 42-47);

Art Unit: 2153

• The messaging component comparing the contents of the payload portion with the registration information for each of the plurality of execution threads; and forwarding the received message to the one or more execution threads based in the results of the comparison, (column 5, lines 55-63; column 3, lines 63-65; column 6, line 50 to column 7, line 9; and column 3, line 43-56).

However, Achenson does not disclose: wherein the received message is used to select a manner of data transfer, which includes selection of a direct transfer using the direct connection between the first network computer and the second network computer, or a referential transfer using a connection from the first network computer identified from a reference to a network server supplied by the second network computer. Nonetheless, these would have been obvious modifications for one of ordinary skill in the art to the aforementioned method, as further evidenced by Hu.

In an analogous art, Hu discloses a method for distribution of client requests received from a digital computer network in different selective modes (abstract). Hu further discloses the method wherein the received message (i.e. client request) is used to select a manner of data transfer, which includes selection of a direct transfer (i.e. proxy mode where client contacts content server directly; column 11, line 35-column 12, line 10) using the direct connection between the first network computer (i.e. client; Figure 2-item 104) and the second network computer (i.e. selected content server; Figure 2-item 106), or a referential transfer (i.e. redirect mode where network manager responds to client with reference information that allows client to contact content server; column 12, lines 10-52) using a connection from the first network computer (Figure 2-

Art Unit: 2153

item 104) to a network server (i.e. content server; Figure 2-item 106) identified from a reference to the network server supplied by the second network computer (i.e. network request manager; Figure 2-item 102). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement this in the method as disclosed by Achenson, so as to establish alternative manners of transfer thereby reducing delay and/or loss of data caused by transmission between two endpoints, (Cohen column 1, lines 1-32).

In reference to claim 2, Achenson explicitly shows the limitations, (column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 47 and Figure 2).

In reference to claim 3, Achenson explicitly shows the limitations, (column 6, lines 42-47).

In reference to claim 9, Achenson explicitly shows the limitations, (column 5, lines 19-33; column 5, lines 55-63; and column 2, lines 14-32, and column 1, lines 15).

In reference to claim 10, Achenson explicitly shows the limitations, (column 7, line 67 to column 8, line 2).

In reference to claims 26-28, Achenson explicitly shows the limitations, (column 2, lines 14-32; column 1, lines 10-16; column 12, lines 65 and column 1, lines 38-50).

Art Unit: 2153

Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Metz et al. (US Patent 5,978,855) in view of Hu (US Patent 6,173,322), hereinafter referred to as Metz and Hu respectively.

In reference to claim 13, Metz discloses a method for downloading application software and transmitting messages through one channel of a digital broadcast network in order to decrease bandwidth usage, (column 5, lines 14-35; column 6, lines 27-36; and Figure 1). Metz explicitly discloses:

- A method of communicating between a set-box top (Figure 1-item 100) and a cable head end (Figure 1-item 11) via a digital cable network (Figure 1-item 15), (column 5, lines 25-36; column 7, lines 35-48; and column 8, line 44 to column 9, line 29) the method comprising:
- Establishing a direct connection between the set-box top and the cable head end via
  a common network communication channel that connects the set-box top and the
  cable head end, wherein the common network communication channel is shared by
  a plurality of applications, or execution sub process thereof, to send and receive
  messages via the digital network, (column 9, lines 10-20 and column 9, lines 43-67);
  and wherein
- Controlling the plurality of applications or execution sub processes to select a
  manner of transfer (i.e. selectively transmits broadcasts video programming through
  a first one of the plurality of broadcasts channels and software through a second
  channel; column 5, lines 14-36), wherein one of the set-box top and the cable head

Art Unit: 2153

end is a recipient and one is a transferor, (i.e. source system to recipient; column 9, lines 9-45).

However, Metz does not disclose the method: wherein selection of the manner of data transfer is based on a message received by the recipient and includes selection of the direct connection between the set-top box and the cable head end, or a referential transfer using a connection from the recipient to a network server identified from a reference to a network server supplied by the transferor. Nonetheless, these would have been obvious modifications for one of ordinary skill in the art to the aforementioned method, as further evidenced by Hu.

In an analogous art, Hu discloses a method for distribution of client requests received from a digital computer network in different selective modes (abstract). Hu further discloses the method wherein the received message (i.e. client request) is used to select a manner of data transfer, which includes selection of a direct transfer (i.e. proxy mode where client contacts content server directly; column 11, line 35-column 12, line 10) using the direct connection between the first network computer (i.e. client; Figure 2-item 104) and the second network computer (i.e. selected content server; Figure 2-item 106), or a referential transfer (i.e. redirect mode where network manager responds to client with reference information that allows client to contact content server; column 12, lines 10-52) using a connection from the first network computer (Figure 2-item 104) to a network server (i.e. content server; Figure 2-item 106) identified from a reference to the network server supplied by the second network computer (i.e. network request manager; Figure 2-item 102). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been

Art Unit: 2153

motivated to implement this in the method as disclosed by Achenson, so as to establish alternative manners of transfer thereby reducing delay and/or loss of data caused by transmission between two endpoints, (Cohen column 1, lines 1-32).

Claims 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasrebi (US Patent 6,141,689) and further in view of Chebrolu (US Patent 6,754,714) and Hu (US Patent 6,173,322), hereinafter referred to as Yasrebi, Chebrolu, and Hu respectively.

In reference to claim 14, Yasrebi discloses a method for allocating available communication links (I.e. ports) for transfer of messages between threads throughout a computer network, (column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 6; and Figure 2):

- In a network computer (Figure 2-item 22) messaging component and a plurality of execution threads (i.e. multi-threading), a method of determining a manner of transferring data to a recipient network computer, the messaging component (I.e. remote procedure call interface) having a network address and configured to receive and send network messages for the plurality of execution threads, (column 7, lines 13-27; column 6, lines 17-25; column 7, lines 50-62; column 5, lines 2-6; column 10, lines 31-36; column 12, lines 38-41; and Figure 5); and
- Receiving a request from one of the execution threads to transfer data to the recipient network computer, the request including at least one requirement (i.e. arguments) for carrying out the request, (column 7, lines 27-50);

as further evidenced by Chebrolu.

Art Unit: 2153

 Based on at least in part on the received requirement, determining a proposed manner of transfer (i.e. hypothetical physical port);

• A rejection to the proposed manner of transfer, (column 10, lines 64-67).

- Transmitting, using the messaging component, a start message (I.e. virtual handle)
  to the recipient network computer, the start message including the proposed manner
  of transfer, (column 8, line 54 to column 9, line 10 and column10, lines 24-30);
- However, the reference does not disclose: in response to a rejection of the proposed manner of transfer, determining whether an alternative manner of transfer is available; and responding using the messaging component, to the rejection with an alternative manner of transfer where one is available. Nonetheless, these would have been obvious modifications for one of ordinary skill in the art to the aforementioned method,

In an analogous art, Chebrolu discloses a method for allocating an alternative manner of transfer (i.e. secondary channel) for access through network when the original channel is unavailable, (column 5, lines 63-67; column 6, lines 30-52; and Figure 3-items 104,112,114). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement this in the method as disclosed by Yasrebi so as to reduce the adverse effect on customer service associated with denied connections due to lack of allocable channel capacity, (Chebrolu column 2, lines 65-67). However, Yasrebi and Chebrolu do not disclose the method: wherein the received message is used to select a manner of data transfer, which includes selection of a direct transfer using the direct connection between the first network computer and the second network computer, or a referential

Art Unit: 2153

Art Unit, 2155

transfer using a connection from the first network computer identified from a reference to a network server supplied by the second network computer. Nonetheless, these would have been obvious modifications for one of ordinary skill in the art to the aforementioned method, as further evidenced by Hu.

In an analogous art, Hu discloses a method for distribution of client requests received from a digital computer network in different selective modes (abstract). Hu further discloses the method wherein the received message (i.e. client request) is used to select a manner of data transfer, which includes selection of a direct transfer (i.e. proxy mode where client contacts content server directly; column 11, line 35-column 12, line 10) using the direct connection between the first network computer (i.e. client; Figure 2-item 104) and the second network computer (i.e. selected content server; Figure 2-item 106), or a referential transfer (i.e. redirect mode where network manager responds to client with reference information that allows client to contact content server; column 12, lines 10-52) using a connection from the first network computer(Figure 2item 104) to a network server (i.e. content server; Figure 2-item 106) identified from a reference to the network server supplied by the second network computer (i.e. network request manager; Figure 2-item 102). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement this in the method as disclosed by Achenson, so as to establish alternative manners of transfer thereby reducing delay and/or loss of data caused by transmission between two endpoints, (Cohen column 1, lines 1-32).

Art Unit: 2153

In reference to claims 15 and 17-18, and 20-22 Yasrebi shows the limitations, (Yasrebi column 10, line 45 to column 11, line 21 and column 12, lines 38-43).

In reference to claim 16, Yasrebi shows the limitations, (Yasrebi column 8, line 66 to column 9, line 25).

In reference to claim 19, Yasrebi shows the limitations, (Yasrebi column 7, lines 28-35).

In reference to claims 23-25 Chebrolu shows the limitations, (Chebrolu column 6, lines 4-10; column 5, lines 53-57; and Figure 2).

Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achenson and Hu as previously applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Buehl et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0100059), hereinafter referred to as Buehl.

In reference to claims 4-5, Achenson and Hu show substantial features of the claimed method. However the reference fails to show the network is a digital cable network, and the network computer is a set-box top and a cable head end.

Nevertheless, this modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, as further evidenced by Buehl.

Art Unit: 2153

In an analogous art, Buehl shows a system for establishing transmission of client request and services in digital cable systems via a single connection (i.e. session), (paragraph [0002], lines 1-4; paragraph [0010], lines 1-20). Buehl shows that communication is supported through a digital cable network (Figure 3-item 165), a setbox top (Figure 3-item 190) and a cable head-end, (Figure 3-item 145), (paragraph [0039], lines 1-5; paragraph [0008], lines 1-10; paragraph [0010], lines 1-10; paragraph [0007], lines 1-12). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been so motivated so as to implement these limitations in the aforementioned method so as to reduce the channel allocation for in real time processing applications, such as cable applications thereby reducing bandwidth, (Achenson column 1, lines 10-19 and column 2, lines 1-5).

In reference to claim 6, Achenson and Hu disclose substantial features of the claimed invention, specifically the registration information comprising an identifier for each of the plurality of execution threads, (column 5, lines 48-55). However, the reference fails to show the registration information comprises a message interest.

Nevertheless, this modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, as further evidenced by Buehl.

In an analogous art, Buehl shows a system for establishing transmission of client request and services in digital cable systems via a single connection (i.e. session), (paragraph [0002], lines 1-4; paragraph [0010], lines 1-20). Buehl shows the messages (I.e. session request) containing the interest of the intended client service, (paragraph [0026], lines 1-25). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been so motivated so as

to implement this limitation in the aforementioned method so as to reduce the channel allocation for in real time processing applications, such as cable applications thereby reducing bandwidth, (Achenson column 1, lines 10-19 and column 2, lines 1-5).

In reference to claim 7-8 Buehl shows the limitations, (Buehl paragraph [0030], lines 1-15 and paragraph [0031], lines 19).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achenson and Hu as previously applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Beaser et al. (US Patent 6,697,862), hereinafter referred to as Beaser.

In reference to claim 11, Achenson and Cohen show substantial features of the claimed method, specifically the network address of the messaging component, (column 1, lines 34-37). However the reference fails to show the network address comprises a MAC address. Nonetheless, this would have been an obvious modification for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to the aforementioned method, as further evidenced by Beaser.

In an analogous art, Beaser discloses MAC addressed messaging in a method for networking address maintenance using dynamic host configuration protocol messages in a data-over-cable system, (column 6, lines 38-52 and column 2, lines 27-32). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement this modification into the aforementioned method, so as to improve the maintenance of the

network address tables to improve the resource allocation and security in data-overcable system, (Beaser column 2, lines 56-60).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achenson and Hu as previously applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yoshida (US Patent 6,373,853), hereinafter referred to as Yoshida.

In reference to claim 12, Achenson and Cohen show substantial features of the claimed method, specifically the network address of the messaging component, (column 1, lines 34-37). However the reference fails to show the network address comprises a Network Access Service Point (NSAP) address. Nonetheless, this would have been an obvious modification for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to the aforementioned method, as further evidenced by Yoshida.

In an analogous art, Yoshida discloses NSAP address registration employed in a method for dynamic address mapping in which maps ATM addresses and NSAP address with a network, (column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 2 and column 1, line 45-50). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been so motivated to implement this modification into the aforementioned method so as to relieve address information after finishing communication and therefore improving memory use efficiency, (Yoshida column 1, lines 57-64).

Application/Control Number: 09/919,729 Page 15

Art Unit: 2153

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShanya R Nash whose telephone number is (571) 272-3957. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LaShanya Nash

Art Unit, 2153

May 24, 2006

SALEH NAJJAR

SUPÉRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER